No evidence has so far been found of birds returning, after wandering, to a former resort to breed. D24269 (O-RM) / D5290 (O-MR) was reared at Karaka and bred within a year at Kaiaua, an example of lack of attachment to birthplace.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to thank all helpers in this scheme, especially Mr A. Blackburn for helpful suggestions, Mr B. D. Heather for extensive editing and encouragement, the Auckland and South Auckland Regional Representatives of OSNZ, Mrs Sylvia M. Reed and Mrs Beth Brown, for organisation and their active devotion to the scheme. I am very grateful to Mr Murray E. Douglas for the production of the map. Also I remember the loyal support of my late wife Hetty over the many years of this work.

## LITERATURE CITED

EDGAR, A. T. 1969. Estimated population of the Red-breasted Dotterel. Notornis 16: 85-100.

H. R. McKENZIE, 21 Estuary Road, Weymouth, Manurewa

## SHORT NOTE

## NOMENCLATURE OF THE SHINING CUCKOO

New Zealand's Shining Cuckoo is one of four races of a species which also breeds in south-east Australia, New Caledonia and the New Hebrides. The species in turn is one of a group of 12 known collectively as the glossy cuckoos, and distinguished by their irridescent plumage and small size. Taxonomists with largely regional interests grouped the four African species as Chrysococcyx, placed the non-glossy osculans in a monotypic genus Misccalius, and referred the remaining seven Indo-Australian species to Chalcites (Friedman 1968, The evolutionary history of the avian genus Chrysococcyx. (U.S. Nat. Mus. Bull. 265). New Zealand crnithologists follow the Annotated Checklist of the birds of New Zealand (OSNZ 1970) in calling the Shining Cuckoo Chalcites lucidus lucidus. However, Berger (1955, On the anatomy and relationships of glossy cuckeos of the genera Chrysococcyx, Lampromorpha, and Chalcites. Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus. 103: 585-597) compared the anatomy of several glossy cuckoos (including lucidus) and concluded that all are congeneric, and referable to Chrysococcyx, the oldest available name. The decision is widely accepted, and while it is unfortunate to have to deviate from the Checklist, the change in New Zealand from Chalcites to Chrysccoccyx is desirable, to conform with current international usage.

BRIAN J. GILL, Department of Zoology, University of Canterbury, Christchurch