SHORT NOTE

Differences in morphometric characters between little penguins (*Eudyptula minor*) in Oamaru and on Tiritiri Matangi Island

MASAMINE MIYAZAKI* Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, New Zealand

Little penguins (Eudyptula minor) range around the coasts of New Zealand and southern Australia (Marchant & Higgins 1990). Kinsky & Falla (1976) divided this species into 6 subspecies in terms of morphometric features. Later, several studies suggested that there are 2 major clades within the little penguin by comparing the sequences of mitochondrial gene regions (Banks et al. 2002, 2008; Peucker et al. 2009). One clade ranges across Australia and Otago, while the other clade is restricted to the North Island, Cook Strait, Chatham Islands and Banks Peninsula (Banks et al. 2002; 2008). At Oamaru in north Otago, these 2 clades appear to occur sympatrically (Banks et al. 2008). Miyazaki & Nakagawa (2015) found geographical variation in acoustic parameters and behaviour between the little penguins in Oamaru

Received 2 July 2015; accepted 28 July 2015

Correspondence: minemiya@aol.com

*Present address: 1-1-5-504, Uenodai, Fujimino-city, Saitama, 356-0017, Japan

and those on Tiritiri Matangi Island suggesting differences between the 2 clades. However, there is no information on crossbreeding or patterns of assortative mating between birds in the 2 regions (Miyazaki & Nakagawa 2015). Females can discriminate between local and foreign male calls and they might use acoustic cues for mate choice (Miyazaki & Nakagawa 2015). In this study, I quantified differences in bill length, bill depth, flipper size and foot size of little penguins between these 2 regions. Although there are some morphometric data for some of the different subspecies (reviewed by Williams 1995), these data were collected by different people using different instruments. Here, I compared birds in 2 different breeding areas using the same set of measurements by a single person with a large enough sample size to generate meaningful conclusions.

The study was conducted on Tiritiri Matangi Island (36°36′ S, 174°53′ E) during the breeding season (May-June) in 1999 and in Oamaru (45°07′S, 170°58′ E) during the breeding season (May-June)



Fig. 1. Measurement of flipper size and foot size in the little penguin. Measurement follow methods in Miyazaki and Waas (2003). Flipper length (mm) was defined as the distance between the vestige of the second digit and the tip of the outstretched flipper. Foot length (mm) was defined as the distance between the tip of the claw of the third digit and the base of the first digit.

in 2000. I sampled 200 birds (40 nesting pairs from Tiritiri Matangi Island and 60 nesting pairs from Oamaru) and measured 4 body size parameters: (1) bill length, (2) bill depth, (3) flipper size, and (4) foot size. Bill length and bill depth were measured with digital calipers to 0.1 mm (following Klomp & Wooller 1988). Flipper size and foot size were measured by first tracing the outspread appendage on paper and later measuring length with a ruler to the nearest 1 mm (Fig. 1). Flipper and foot sizes were measured by following Miyazaki & Waas (2003). Flipper size was estimated by measuring the distance from the vestige of the second digit to the tip of the outstretched flipper. Foot size was estimated by measuring the distance between the tip of the claw of the third digit and the base of the first digit. I studied only breeding pairs in nest burrows and nest boxes. I used Gales' formula (Gales 1988) for birds on Tiritiri Matangi Island (following Miyazaki & Waas 2003) and Hocken's formula (developed for Otago birds by Hocken & Russell 2002) for birds in Oamaru for determining the sex from bill measurements.

Body-size parameters of birds in Oamaru and on Tiritiri Matangi Island are shown in Table 1. To compare the morphometric data between the 2 populations, *t*-tests were used. Little penguins show sexual dimorphism and males are slightly larger than females (Richdale 1940; Reilly & Balmford 1975; Jones 1978). Thus, I compared variables only within the same sex. Oamaru males had longer bills (t = 8.90, df = 98, P < 0.0001) and deeper bills (t = 6.34, df = 98, P < 0.0001) than males on Tiritiri Matangi Island (Table 1). Furthermore, males in Oamaru had longer flippers (t = 5.60, df = 71, P < 0.0001) and larger feet (t = 5.87, df = 71, P < 0.0001) than on Tiritiri Matangi Island (Table 1). In females, there were significant differences in the 4 body-size parameters between these 2 regions (bill length, t = 8.23, df = 98, P < 0.0001; bill depth, t = 2.43, df = 98, P = 0.017; flipper size, t = 4.91, df = 60, P < 0.0001; foot size, t = 4.05, df = 60, P < 0.0001).

Miyazaki & Nakagawa (2015) showed geographical differences in acoustic signals of male little penguins between Oamaru and Tiritiri Matangi Island. In this study, I found statistically significant differences in 4 morphological parameters between birds from these 2 regions. Thus, body-size parameters can be an indicator of the differences in birds from the 2 geographical locations as well as their acoustic signals.

Sato *et al.* (2010) compared the relationship between morphological characters such as body mass, flipper size and behaviours at sea among 7 species of penguins. They suggested that morphological characters might be related to differences among species in swimming speed and stroke frequency. However, flipper size and diving behaviour within a single species have not been investigated. Further research is needed to determine if flipper size can affect diving behavior in little penguins.

Williams (1995) reviewed morphometric data for 3 measures (flipper length, bill length and bill depth) for 2 different subspecies (E. m. minor and E. m. novaehollandiae) in little penguins. Although these data were obtained by different researchers using different measurements, the data suggested that Australian birds tend to be larger. My results may support the scenario of 2 major clades in little penguins as proposed by Banks et al. (2002; 2008). Nevertheless, my results also are consistent with the 6 subspecies scenario of Kinsky & Falla (1976) because there are differences in morphometric parameters between the 2 subspecies (E. m. iredalei on Tiritiri Matangi Island and E. m. minor in Oamaru). As no data is currently available on 4 of the other 6 subspecies, future work is required to evaluate little penguin taxonomy in terms of these morphometric characters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge Joseph R. Waas who provided the opportunity to pursue this study. The manuscript was further improved by useful comments from 2 anonymous referees. The University of Waikato provided financial assistance. A permit to conduct research on little penguins was supplied by the Department of Conservation, New Zealand. The University of Waikato Animal Ethics Committee approved our experimental protocol.

Morphological characteristics	Male		Female	
	Oamaru	Tiritiri Matangi	Oamaru	Tiritiri Matangi
Bill length	39.39 ± 1.65 (60)	36.57 ± 1.38 (40)	36.98 ± 1.76 (60)	34.21 ± 1.45 (40)
Bill depth	15.76 ± 0.90 (60)	14.70 ± 0.64 (40)	13.46 ± 0.98 (60)	13.01 ± 0.77 (40)
Flipper size	84.97 ± 4.48 (33)	79.55 ± 3.78 (40)	80.91 ± 3.74 (22)	76.15 ± 3.59 (40)
Foot size	56.21 ± 2.37 (33)	52.85 ± 2.49 (40)	53.23 ± 2.14 (22)	50.50 ± 2.73 (40)

Table 1. Morphometric measurements (mm, mean \pm sd [n]), of male and female little penguins in Oamaru and on Tiritiri Matangi Island.

LITERATURE CITED

- Banks, J.C.; Mitchell, A.D.; Waas, J.R.; Paterson, A.M. 2002. An unexpected pattern of molecular divergence within the blue penguin (*Eudyptula minor*) complex. *Notornis* 49: 29-38.
- Banks, J.C.; Cruickshank, R.H.; Drayton, G.M.; Paterson, A.M. 2008. Few genetic differences between Victorian and Western Australian blue penguins, *Eudyptula minor. New Zealand Journal of Zoology* 35: 265-270.
- Gales, R. 1988. Sexing adult blue penguins by external measurements. *Notornis* 35: 71-75.
- Jones, G. 1978. The little blue penguin (*Eudyptula minor*) on Tiritiri Matangi Island. Unpubl. MSc thesis, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Hocken, A.G.; Russell, J.J. 2002. A method for determination of gender from bill measurements in Otago blue penguins (*Eudyptula minor*). New Zealand Journal of Zoology 29: 63-69.
- Kinsky, F.C.; Falla, R.A. 1976. A subspecific revision of the Australasian blue penguin (Eudyptula minor) in the New Zealand area. Records of the National Museum of New Zealand 1: 105-126.
- Klomp, N.I.; Wooller, R.D. 1988. The size of little penguins, *Eudyptula minor*, on Penguin Island, Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 14: 211-215.
- Marchant, S.; Higgins, P.J. 1990. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic birds, Vol. 1A. Oxford University Press: Melbourne.

- Miyazaki, M.; Nakagawa, S. 2015. Geographical variation in male calls and the effect on female response in little penguins. *Acta Ethologica* 18: 227-234.
- Miyazaki, M.; Waas, J.R. 2003. Correlations between body size, defensive behaviour and reproductive success in male little blue penguins *Eudyptula minor*: implications for female choice. *Ibis 145*: 98-105.
- Peucker, A.J.; Dann, P.; Burridge, C.P. 2009. Range-wide phylogeography of the little penguin (*Eudyptula minor*): evidence of long-distance dispersal. *Auk* 126: 397-408.
- Reilly, P.N.; Balmford, P. 1975. A breeding study of the little penguin *Eudyptula minor* in Australia. pp. 161-187 In: Stonehouse, B.(ed.) *The biology of penguins*. London: Macmillan.
- Richdale, L.E. 1940. Random notes on the genus *Eudyptula* on the Otago Peninsula, New Zealand. *Emu 40*: 180-216.
- Sato, K.; Shiomi, K.; Watanabe, Y; Watanuki, Y; Takahashi, A.; Ponganis, P.J. 2010. Scaling of swim speed and stroke frequency in geometrically similar penguins: they swim optimally to minimize cost of transport. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 277: 707-714.
- Williams, T.D. 1995. *The penguins: Spheniscidae*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Keywords little penguin; flipper size; foot size; geographical variation; subspecies