## SHORT NOTE

## First record of interbreeding between a Snares crested (*Eudyptes robustus*) and erect-crested penguin (*E. sclateri*)

KYLE W. MORRISON\*

Ecology Group, Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand, and National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Hataitai, Wellington 6021, New Zealand

PAUL M. SAGAR

National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Riccarton, Christchurch 8011, New Zealand

We observed a male Snares crested penguin (Eudyptes robustus) breeding with a female erectcrested penguin (E. sclateri) on North East Island, The Snares, New Zealand, early in the Snares crested penguin incubation stage (Fig. 1). This is the first record of these species interbreeding, despite their similar timing of breeding (Warham 1975) and observations of overlap in distribution. Erectcrested penguins are regular vagrants to The Snares (Miskelly et al. 2001) and Snares crested penguins are occasional vagrants to the Antipodes Islands (Tennyson et al. 2002). The impressive dispersal ability of both species is evident in records of each from the Falklands Islands in the southwest Atlantic Ocean (Napier 1968; Demongin et al. 2010; Morrison 2010). This is also the first record of a Snares crested penguin interbreeding with any other penguin species. In contrast, erect-crested penguins have previously been observed breeding with western (or southern, *E. chrysocome*) and eastern rockhopper (*E.* filholi) penguins on multiple occasions, and paired with a royal penguin (*E.schlegeli*)(Table 1).

There were c. 31,000 breeding pairs of Snares crested penguins in 2010 (BirdLife International 2012a), which are endemic to The Snares and are listed as Vulnerable by the IUCN (BirdLife International 2012a) and Naturally Uncommon by the New Zealand Department of Conservation (DOC; Robertson et al. 2013). Erect-crested penguins are also endemic to New Zealand's sub-Antarctic islands, breeding primarily on the Antipodes Islands (c. 40,000 pairs in 2011; Hiscock & Chilvers 2014) and Bounty Islands (c. 26,000 pairs in 2011; BirdLife International 2012b). A low number of erect-crested penguins may still breed on Disappointment Island of the Auckland Islands group, and a low number formerly bred on Campbell Island during the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century (Davis 2013). Although they have a larger population and breeding range, erect-crested penguin populations are undergoing a long-term decrease and are listed as Endangered by the IUCN (BirdLife International 2012b) and At Risk by DOC (Robertson et al. 2013).

The heterospecific pair was first observed guarding an empty nest on 30 September 2013 on the periphery of the "A3" colony during a census count. The pair's nest was still empty 9 October, but contained a small egg on 10 October, presumably the first-laid "A-egg". Our expedition departed 12 October before



**Fig. 1.** Heterospecific pair of a male Snares crested penguin (left) standing over the egg of a female erect-crested penguin (right), 10 October 2013, North East Island, The Snares. Photo: K.W. Morrison

the second-laid "B-egg" was due to be laid, given the 2-egg clutch and 4 to 5 day laying interval typical of crested penguins (Warham 1975). Colin Miskelly and Alan Tennyson, from the Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa, searched for the nest repeatedly from 2 to 11 December 2013 during the guard stage of chick-rearing, but the nest had likely failed as the erect-crested penguin was not resighted. The A3 colony contains c. 1,400 nests and is one of the largest Snares crested penguin colonies on the island. The colony is in dense Olearia lyalli forest and is located 250 m inland from the Biological Research Station (Warham 1974) and the nearest penguin landing site. Both the site and distance from the sea are typical of Snares crested penguin breeding colonies. In contrast, erect-crested penguin breeding colonies are typically in rocky areas adjacent to the coast (Warham 1975). We speculate that while searching for conspecifics the erect-crested penguin was attracted to the large number of penguins moving and courting inland, resulting in it breeding in the relatively large, sheltered A3 colony instead of the nearby small, more open colonies close to the coast.

The bill of adult male Snares crested penguins is larger than that of female adult Snares crested penguins (Warham 1974). We judged that the Snares crested penguin in the heterospecific pair we observed was a male because its bill appeared similar in size to the larger-billed bird in neighbouring pairs (Fig. 1). Using Vernier calipers we measured the single egg present to be  $66.6 \times 45.8$  mm. Erect-crested penguin A-eggs average ( $\pm$  SD)  $69.2 \pm 3.8$  mm  $\times 46.4 \pm 1.7$  mm (Davis 2013), whereas Snares crested penguin A-eggs are of a similar length averaging  $68.6 \pm 2.4$  mm, but of a greater width of  $52.0 \pm 1.7$  (Massaro & Davis 2005). The narrower width of the A-egg from the mixed pair

supports our premise that the erect-crested penguin was a female.

Peak laying dates for Snares crested penguin A- and B-eggs were 28 September and 2 October on North East Island in 1972 (Warham 1974), and appeared very similar in 2013. The mixed-species nest was one of a low number of nests containing only an A-egg on 10 October 2013. The timing of laying of erect-crested penguins on the Antipodes Islands is similar, but slightly later than that of Snares crested penguins, averaging about 8 October and 12 October in 1998 for A- and B-eggs, respectively (Davis & Renner 2003). The erect-crested female in the heterospecific pair laid late relative to the Snares crested penguins, but on schedule for her own species on the Antipodes Islands. The maintenance of the presumed female's typical timing of laying might be expected because although courtship activities within breeding colonies may accelerate and synchronise laying (Waas et al. 2000), the extent must be limited in crested penguins which all, except perhaps Fiordland crested penguins (Eudyptes pachyrhynchus), likely initiate egg formation at sea during their return migration to breeding colonies (Crossin *et al.* 2010).

Evolutionary theory predicts that more closely related species are more likely to interbreed because they are less likely to have evolved isolating mechanisms (Price 2008). In support of this theory, both penguin genera in which species are known to interbreed in the wild (*Eudyptes*; Table 1) and *Spheniscus* (Simeone *et al.* 2009) diverged and speciated more recently than the *Pygoscelis* and *Aptenodytes* penguins for which no wild heterospecific pairs or hybrids have been reported (Baker *et al.* 2006). The systematic affinities among extant *Eudyptes* taxa are not completely resolved. Baker *et al.* (2006) suggest

**Table 1.** Records of heterospecific pairs or hybrid crested (*Eudyptes* spp.) penguins. The species in higher abundance at the location (island-wide – some would be reversed if on a local colony basis) is listed first for each record. Occasions where the second-listed, less abundant species is a vagrant or breeds in very low numbers (< 50 pairs) are noted with an "\*". Pairs not confirmed breeding are marked "\*".

Location	Species	Source
Falkland Islands	WR x EC*	Napier (1968), Morrison (2010)
Isla Noir	WR x MA	D. Oehler (pers. comm.)
Staten Island	WR x MA*	A. Raya Rey (pers. comm.)
Falkland Islands	WR x MA*	White and Clausen (2002), Morrison (2010)
South Georgia	MA x RO*	K. Reid in White and Clausen (2002)
Potentially multiple locations	MA x RO*	Marchant and Higgins (1990)
Marion Island	MA x ER	Woehler and Gilbert (1990)
Heard Island	MA x ER	Woehler and Gilbert (1990)
Campbell Island	ER x MA*+	A. Tennyson in Seabrook-Davison (2013)
Campbell Island	ER x EC*	P. Moors in Hull and Wiltshire (1999)
Campbell Island	ER x RO*	Hull and Wiltshire (1999)
Macquarie Island	RO x EC*⁺	Simpson (1985)
Macquarie Island	RO x ER	Simpson (1985), Hull and Wiltshire (1999)
Antipodes Islands	EC x ER	T. Greene in Morrison (2013)
Snares Islands	SC x EC*	This study

WR = Western rockhopper penguin (*Eudyptes chrysocome*), EC = Erect-crested penguin (*E. sclateri*), MA = Macaroni penguin (*E. chrysolophus*), RO = Royal penguin (*E. schlegeli*), ER = Eastern rockhopper penguin (*E. filholi*), SC = Snares crested penguin (*E. robustus*)

that the lineage leading to the erect-crested penguin diverged earliest, while Fiordland crested penguins and Snares crested penguins diverged most recently. In contrast, Ksepka (2006) and Ksepka and Ando (2011) suggest erect-crested, Snares crested, and Fiordland crested penguins shared a common ancestor with one another more recently than with other eudyptids. Regardless of the evolutionary time and genetic distance between them, most species of *Eudyptes* penguins have been documented interbreeding with one or more other congeneric species (Table 1). Only northern rockhopper penguins (E. moseleyi) and Fiordland crested penguins have not been recorded in any heterospecific pairing to date (Table 1). We suggest these 2 species are reproductively isolated because of their low-latitude breeding sites and early timing of breeding (Cuthbert 2013; Mattern 2013).

Almost all occurrences of *Eudyptes* species interbreeding have involved one of the closely related western and eastern rockhopper penguins (Table 1), for which separate species status has been argued (Banks *et al.* 2006), but is not yet recognised by the IUCN (BirdLife International 2012c). The only exceptions are the pair described in this study, and observations of macaroni (*E. chrysolophus*) and royal penguin pairs (Table 1), which are also closely related and sometimes argued to be subspecies (Christidis & Boles 2008). Woehler and Gilbert (1990) suggested

that rockhopper penguins may be more capable of forming heterospecific pairs than other eudyptids, but did not acknowledge that rockhopper penguins have the greatest opportunity to form mixed pairs. Western and eastern rockhopper penguins are the only species that breed sympatrically with any other eudyptid (Warham 1975), and together their circumpolar breeding range is larger than that of any other species and they are the second-most abundant crested penguin after macaroni penguins (BirdLife International 2012d; BirdLife International 2012c). Undoubtedly more interbreeding events among eudyptid penguins would be observed in New Zealand if breeding sites were more frequently systematically surveyed during the courtship or shared-incubation periods when both pair members are readily observed.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks to the anonymous reviewers of this manuscript for constructive comments and to contributors of unpublished observations. The nest search efforts of C. Miskelly and A. Tennyson are appreciated. K.W.M. is grateful to Massey University, NIWA, NSERC, and Education New Zealand for financial support. Our expedition was supported by DOC Southland Conservancy and MBIE contract C01X0905 to NIWA. Thank you to D. Thompson, J. Hiscock, K. Pemberton, and H. Haazen and the crew of RV '*Tiama*' for their essential contributions to our successful trip.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Baker, A.J.; Pereira, S.L.; Haddrath, O.P.; Edge, K.-A. 2006. Multiple gene evidence for expansion of extant penguins out of Antarctica due to global cooling. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273: 11-17.
- Banks, J.; Buren, A.; Cherel, Y.; Whitfield, J.B. 2006. Genetic evidence for three species of rockhopper penguins, *Eudyptes chrysocome*. *Polar Biology* 30: 61-67.
- BirdLife International. 2012a. *Eudyptes robustus*. *In*: IUCN 2013 (ed.) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. www. iucnredlist.org.
- BirdLife International. 2012b. *Eudyptes sclateri. In:* IUCN 2013 (ed.) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. www. iucnredlist.org.
- BirdLife International. 2012c. *Eudyptes chrysocome*. *In:* IUCN 2013 (ed.) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.www.iucnredlist.org.
- BirdLife International. 2012d. *Eudyptes chrysolophus. In:* IUCN 2013 (ed.) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. www.iucnredlist.org.
- Christidis, L.; Boles, W.E. 2008. Systematics and taxonomy of Australian birds. Collingwood, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- Crossin, G.T.; Trathan, P.N.; Phillips, R.A.; Dawson, A.; Le Bouard, F.; Williams, T.D. 2010. A carryover effect of migration underlies individual variation in reproductive readiness and extreme egg size dimorphism in macaroni penguins. *American Naturalist* 176: 357-366.
- Cuthbert, R.J. 2013. Northern rockhopper penguin. Pp. 131-143 In: Borboroglu, P.G.; Boersma, P.D. (eds.) Penguins: natural history and conservation. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Davis, L.S.; Renner, M. 2003. Penguins. London: Yale University Press.
- Davis, L.S. 2013. Erect-crested penguin. Pp. 145-151 In: Borboroglu, P.G.; Boersma, P.D. (eds.) Penguins: natural history and conservation. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Demongin, L.; Poisbleau, M.; Strange, G.; Strange, I.J. 2010. Second and third records of Snares penguins (*Eudyptes robustus*) in the Falkland Islands. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 122: 190-193.
- Hiscock, J.A.; Chilvers, B.L. 2014. Declining eastern rock-hopper and erect-crested penguins on the Antipodes Islands, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 38: In press
- Hull, C.L.; Wiltshire, A. 1999. An apparent hybrid royal × rockhopper penguin at Macquarie Island. *Australian Bird Watcher* 183: 95-100.
- Ksepka, D.T.; Bertelli, S.; Giannini, N.P. 2006. The phylogeny of the living and fossil *Sphenisciformes* (penguins). *Cladistics* 22: 412-441.
- Ksepka, D.T.; Ando, T. 2011. Penguins past, present, and future: trends in the evolution of the *Sphenisciformes*. Pp. 155-186 *In*: Dyke, G.; Kaiser, G. (eds.) *Living di*nosaurs: the evolutionary history of modern birds. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Marchant, S.; Higgins, P.J. (eds.) 1990. Eudyptes schlegeli Royal Penguin. Pp. 230-236 In: Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Vol. 1, Ratites to ducks; Part A, Ratites to petrels. Melbourne: Oxford University Press.

- Massaro, M.; Davis, L.S. 2005. Differences in egg size, shell thickness, pore density, pore diameter and water vapour conductance between first and second eggs of Snares Penguins *Eudyptes robustus* and their influence on hatching asynchrony. *Ibis* 147: 251-258.
- Mattern, T. 2013. Fiordland penguin. Pp. 153-167 In: Borboroglu, P.G.; Boersma, P.D. (eds.) Penguins: Natural history and conservation. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Miskelly, C.M.; Sagar, P.M.; Tennyson, A.J.D.; Scofield, R.P. 2001. Birds of the Snares Islands, New Zealand. *Notornis* 48: 1-42.
- Morrison, K.W. 2013. Eastern rockhopper penguin. In: Miskelly, C.M. (ed.) New Zealand birds online. www. nzbirdsonline.org.nz.
- Morrison, M. 2010. Hybrid penguin. Wildlife Conservation in the Falkland Islands, Falklands Conservation, London 13: 14.
- Napier, R. 1968. Erect-crested and rockhopper penguins interbreeding in the Falkland Islands. *British Antarctic Survey Bulletin* 16: 71-72.
- Price, T. 2008. *Speciation in birds*. Greenwood Village, Colorado: Roberts and Company Publishers.
- Robertson, H.A.; Dowding, J.E.; Elliott, G.P.; Hitchmough, R.A.; Miskelly, C.M.; O'Donnell, C.J.; Powlesland, R.G.; Sagar, P.M.; Scofield, R.P.; Taylor, G.A. 2013. Conservation status of New Zealand birds, 2012. *New Zealand Threat Classification Series* 4. Wellington, New Zealand: Department of Conservation, .
- Seabrook-Davison, M.N.H. 2013. Macaroni penguin. *In:* Miskelly, C.M. (ed.) *New Zealand birds online*. www.nzbirdsonline.org.nz.
- Simeone, A.; Hiriart-Bertrand, L.; Reyes-Arriagada, R.; Halpern, M.; Dubach, J.; Wallace, R.; Pütz, K.; Lüthi, B. 2009. Heterospecific pairing and hybridization between wild Humboldt and Magellanic penguins in southern Chile. *Condor* 111: 544-550.
- Simpson, K. 1985. A rockhopper × royal penguin hybrid from Macquarie Island. *Australian Bird Watcher* 11: 35-45.
- Tennyson, A.; Taylor, R.; Taylor, G.; Imber, M.; Greene, T. 2002. Unusual bird records from the Antipodes Islands in 1978-1995, with a summary of other species recorded at the island group. *Notornis* 49: 241-245.
- Waas, J.R.; Caulfield, M.; Colgan, P.W.; Boag, P.T. 2000. Colony sound facilitates sexual and agonistic activities in royal penguins. *Animal Behaviour 60*: 77-84.
- Warham, J. 1974. The breeding biology and behaviour of the Snares crested penguin. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 4: 63-108.
- Warham, J. 1975. The crested penguins. Pp. 189-269 In: Stonehouse, B. (ed.) The biology of penguins: biology and environment. London: Macmillan.
- White, R.W.; Clausen, A.P. 2002. Rockhopper *Eudyptes chrysocome chrysocome* x macaroni *E. chrysolophus* penguin hybrids apparently breeding in the Falkland Islands. *Marine Ornithology* 30: 40-42.
- Woehler, E.; Gilbert, C. 1990. Hybrid rockhopper-macaroni penguins, interbreeding and mixed species pairs at Heard and Marion Islands. *Emu* 90: 198-201.
- **Keywords** *Eudyptes*; heterospecific mating; hybrid pair; hybridisation; mixed colony; Snares Islands; vagrant