SHORT NOTES

A note on the nomenclature of the New Zealand stilts (Himantopus)

The most consistent feature of systematic assertions regarding the stilts (Himantopus) of New Zealand has been disagreement, two basic aspects being (1) the nature of the taxa (i.e., species, subspecies, or hybrids) and (2) the names to be applied to these taxa. Pierce (1984) has recently provided an extensive analysis of the nature of the taxa incorporating much new data. He concludes (in consensus with the literature of the past 25 years) that two taxa should be recognised, one of which, the Black Stilt, warrants full specific status. The names he uses for these taxa are Himantopus himantopus leucocephalus (Pied Stilt) and H. novaezealandiae (Black Stilt). In this usage he follows current systematic practice in the Australasian region, at least since publication of the Checklist of New Zealand birds (Ornith, Soc. New Zealand 1953). However, Pierce does not provide a comprehensive analysis of the nomenclature used for New Zealand stilts and has overlooked a long-existing error. The purpose of the present note is to review the nomenclature used for these birds and correct the above mentioned error. A number of additional minor errors in the literature are discussed in the appendix.

Pierce (1984), from the context of his extensive knowledge of juvenile and hybrid plumages, has presented a good historical account of the confusion resulting from attempts to delimit the New Zealand stilt taxa over the past 150 years. At the extreme of splitting, Buller (1905) recognised four species of *Himantopus* in New Zealand (albicollis, leucocephalus, melas, picatus). Peters (1934) represented the opposite extreme, lumping all New Zealand birds into a single subspecies, "novae-zelandiae", within his world-wide *H. himantopus*. In addition, at least six other specific names (including variant spellings) have been applied to these birds.

Table 1 lists the names that have been used for the New Zealand stilts along with the original description or first usage for each that I have been able to locate. Pierce's (1984) work has allowed the allocation of most New Zealand stilts described in the 19th and early 20th century literature to one or the other of the two taxa listed above or to hybrids between them, and these identifications are also indicated.

One name, novaezelandiae Gould, 1841, has been used in at least three different spellings, both with and without hyphens. Hyphens are not to be used in scientific names — International Code, Art. 32 (c) (i) — and will not be considered further in discussing variant spellings of novaezelandiae. This name has priority if the Black Stilt is to be considered a valid taxon as it predates melas Hombron and Jacquinot, 1841, by most of a year. By the International Code, Gould's original spelling (above) is the only form valid;

NOTORNIS 32: 152-155 (1985)

TABLE 1 — Specific names used for Pied and Black Stilts. Variant names using hyphens or capital letters are not listed

Year_	Author	Name
	PIED STILT	
1861	Ellman	H. albus Ellman
1865	Buller (<u>fide</u> Pierce 1984)	H. leucocephalus Gould
1930	Oliver	H. leucocephalus albus Ellman
1934	Peters	H. himantopus novaezelandiae Gould
1953	Ornith. Soc. New Zealand	H. himantopus leucocephalus Gould
	BLACK STILT	
1841a	Gould	H. novaezelandiae Gould
1841	Hombron and Jacquinot	H. melas Hombron and Jacquinot
1844	Gray	H. novaezealandiae [sic] Gould
1861	Ellman	H. niger Ellman
1864	Schlegel	H. novaezeelandiae [sic] Gould
1875	Buller	H. albicollis Buller
1934	Peters	H. himantopus novaezelandiae Gould
1970	Ornith. Soc. New Zealand	H. novaezealandiae [sic] Gould
	Names not attrib	utable to either species
1861	Ellman	H. picatus Ellman (nomen dubium)
1872	Potts	H. spicatus Potts (hybrid)

the others (novaezealandiae and novaezealandiae) are incorrect subsequent spellings — International Code, Art. 33 (b) — and so have no status in nomenclature and should never be used.

In summary, the correct epithet for the taxon comprising the New Zealand stilt populations called by the English name of Black Stilt is novaezelandiae.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to J. A. Bartle for (inadvertently) bringing this matter to my attention. K. C. Parkes commented on an earlier draft of this report.

REFERENCES

```
BULLER, W. L. 1865. Essay on the ornithology of New Zealand. Dunedin: Fergusson & Mitchell. BULLER, W. L. 1875. On the genus Himantopus in New Zealand. Trans. NZ Inst. 7: 220-224. BULLER, W. L. 1905. Supplement to the "Birds of New Zealand". Vol. 1. London. ELLMAN, J. B. 1861. Brief notes on the birds of New Zealand. Zoologist 19: 7464-7473.
GOULD, J. 1837a. Proc. Zool. Soc. London 1837: 26.
GOULD, J. 1837b. A synopsis of the birds of Australia. Part II. London.
GOULD, J. 1841a. [Description of a new species of Himantopus from New Zealand.] Proc. Zool. Soc. London 1841: 8.
GOULD, J. 1841b. Part IV (pl. 25; bound in vol. VI). In. Gould, J. 1840-1848. The birds of Australia. London. GRAY G. R. 1844. List of the specimens of birds in the collection of the British Museum. Part III. Gallinge,
GRAY G. R. 1844. List of the specimens of ords in the collection of the british Museum. Part 111 GRAY, G. R. 1871. Hand-list of genera and species of birds. Part III. London. HOMBRON; JACQUINOT. 1841. Ann. Sci. Nat., Ser. 2, 16: 320.
MATTHEWS, G. M. 1927. Systema avium australasianarum. Part I. London: British Ornith. Union. MEYER, A. B. 1879. Index 20 L. Reichenbachs ornithologischen Werken. Berlin: R. Friedlander & Sohn.
OLIVER, W. R. B. 1930. New Zealand birds. Wellington: Fine Arts (NZ) Ltd.
OLIVER, W. R. B. 1955. New Zealand birds. Second edition. Wellington: A. H. & A. W. Reed.
ORNITH. SOC. NEW ZEALAND. 1953 Checklist of New Zealand birds. Wellington: A. H. & A. W. Reed.
ORNITH. SOC. NEW ZEALAND. 1970. Annotated checklist of the birds of New Zealand. Wellington: A. H.
```

& A. W. Reed.

PETERS, J. L. 1934. Check-list of birds of the world. Vol. 2. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard Univ. Press.

PIERCE, R. J. 1984. Plumage, morphology and hybridisation of New Zealand Stilts *Himantopus* spp. Notornis 31: 106-130.

31: 106-130.

POTTS, T. H. 1872. On the birds of New Zealand. Part 3. Trans. NZ Inst. 5: 171-205.

REICHENBACH, H. G. L. 1850. Grallatores. In REICHENBACH, H. G. L. 1845-1863. Die vollstandigste Naturgeschichte des In- und Auslandes. Dresden.

SAUER, G. C. 1982. John Gould. The bird man. London: Henry Sotheran Ltd.

SCHLEGEL, H. 1864. Scolopaces. In Rijksmuseum van Naturlijke Historie. 1862-1881. Museum d'histoire naturelle des Pays-Bas. Vol. IV. Leiden.

SHARPE, R. B. 1896. Catalog of the Limicolae in the collection of the British Museum. In Catalog of the birds in the British Museum. London. Vol. 24.
VIEILLOT, L. J. P. 1817. L'Échasse à cou blanc, Himantopus albicollis. N. Dict. d'Hist. Nat. 10: 41.

D. SCOTT WOOD, Section of Birds, Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh, PA, 15213, USA

APPENDIX

The following comments also concern the names used for the New Zealand Stilts.

- 1. If the New Zealand population of the Pied Stilt is to be considered distinct from the Australian leucocephalus, the oldest available name is albus (Ellman 1861).
- 2. As pointed out by Pierce (1984), Ellman (1861) provided insufficient description for his H. picatus to permit certain identification as either Pied or Black Stilt. Thus, picatus is a nomen dubium and cannot confidently be assigned to the synonomy of either form.
- 3. Pierce (1984) also pointed out that the description of spicatus Potts, 1872, refers to hybrids. This name is thus not available for any taxon.
- 4. Buller (1875) proposed albicollis for (as it turns out) the Black Stilt. This name is preoccupied, having been used by Vieillot (1817) for H. himantopus.
- 5. The original descriptions of H. leucocephalus and H. novaezelandiae are found in the Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London (Gould 1837, 1841a) published in January of the respective years, not in the Synopsis of the birds of Australia (Gould 1837b; for leucocephalus) or The birds of Australia (Gould 1841b; for novaezelandiae) as cited by, for example, Gray (1871), Matthews (1927), Oliver (1930), Peters (1934), and Oliver (1955). Part II of the Synopsis was not published until at least March of 1837 (despite the date listed in the volume), and Part IV of The birds of Australia was not issued until September of 1841 (Sauer 1982).

- 6. Pierce (1984) indicated (in his Table 5) that Peters (1934) recognised two species of stilts in New Zealand (listed as H. him [antopus] leuco [cephalus] and H. h. novae [zealandiae] (sic) in the table). Peters specifically stated (p. 289, footnote) that he did not believe there to be two species of stilts in New Zealand. He referred all New Zealand birds to H. himantopus novaezelandiae. In the same table (footnote 1), Pierce (1984) stated that Ellman's descriptions of stilts were referred to the genus Hypsibates. This is incorrect, as Ellman (1861) used Himantopus.
- 7. Sharpe (1896) cited the illustration in Reichenbach (1850) as referring to the Pied Stilt (see Sharpe's synonomy of *H. picatus*). the coloured plate in question is labelled 1045-46 and clearly refers to one species. Since one of the two is completely black and the other mostly so they are obviously *novaezelandiae*. Meyer's (1879) compilation supports this interpretation.

SHORT NOTE

Predation of an arboreal rat by a New Zealand Falcon

At 11.30 a.m. on 13 February 1984 I encountered a New Zealand Falcon (Falco novaeseelandiae) in mixed beech-podocarp forest on the Cole Creek track, Mataketake State Forest, South Westland. The Falcon was initially perched on a large branch in the canopy of a silver beech (Nothofagus menziesii), but it soon moved into a large rimu (Dacrydium cupressinum) about 20 m high and for two minutes moved around the small branches and twigs searching continuously. It then flew rapidly into a large epiphyte (Astelia sp.) 15 m up in the crook of the same tree. There was a loud crash, pieces of vegetation fell out of the rimu, and then the falcon flew off to the original beech carrying a rat (Rattus sp.) in its talons.

The falcon perched on a large branch and appeared to prod the rat with its beak. I could not determine whether the rat was eaten because my view was partly obscured, but about a minute later the Falcon flew into a second rimu without the carcass and searched through the tree. At 11.38 a.m., five minutes after catching the rat, the Falcon flew into the canopy of this tree and caught a Silvereye (*Zosterops lateralis*) on the wing from a flock feeding in the foliage.

As far as I am aware this is the first record of an arboreal rat being taken by a New Zealand Falcon.

ANDREW READ, N.Z. Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 1308, Christchurch