LETTERS

The Editor, Sir.

THE FIRST NEW ZEALAND GENTOO: DEATH BY MISADVENTURE?

It was distressing to read in the report by Darby & Wright (1973: 28) that the first New Zealand Gentoo Penguin recorded "has been retained as a mount by the Otago Museum; catalogue number A72:1, and the carcase preserved in isopropyl alcohol."

To many of your readers a bird is more than a catalogue number or a carcase and it is to be hoped that there were good reasons for destroying this penguin. In view of other statements in the article — "strode up the beach," "this healthy and vigorous immigrant," and "the bird proved to be in excellent condition" — the reader is left to make rather unhappy conclusions as to the reasons for the bird's demise. When comes such another?

REFERENCE

DARBY, J. T.; WRIGHT, A. W. 1973. First New Zealand record of the Gentoo Penguin (Pygoscelis papua). Notornis 20 (1): 28-30, 1 fig.

GORDON LEARY

55 Elmslie Road, Pinehaven, Upper Hutt, 19 April 1973

The ethics of specimen collecting in the name of science have been argued many times and the Editor is unwilling to throw open the columns of *Notornis* for a prolonged exchange of views on this topic. However, the authors of the article on the Gentoo Penguin have the right of reply, and Mr J. T. Darby comments as follows:

"Every zoologist, particularly a museum zoologist, is faced with this type of situation almost daily. Outwardly it may appear that one's occupation is that of collecting, curating and research; however, all three together do mean education and this is the prime function of museologists. In finally deciding to retain this bird for the museum, there were a number of aspects taken into consideration and fully discussed with other ornithologists: Firstly, this bird was a second year bird and therefore it did not have a mate and, as a corollary of this, obviously had not established a territory in any breeding ground; Secondly, it did not arrive in New Zealand with a partner and therefore was unlikely to become established as a breeding bird; Thirdly, its distance from the closest known breeding colony is considerable and I believe it not unreasonable to imagine that this bird would be unlikely to return from New Zealand to Macquarie Island; Finally, although rare to New Zealand, it is a bird that may be counted in millions. Overall, I felt that this specimen could contribute more in education to New Zealanders than either dying in off-shore waters or being savaged on shore by a dog."

NOTORNIS 20: 281-283 (1973)